A Randomized Trial of Mogen Clamp Versus Plastibell for Neonatal Male Circumcision in Botswana
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Background: Male circumcision can reduce the risk of heterosexually acquired HIV-1 infection in men. Neonatal male circumcision (NMC) has many potential advantages over circumcision at older ages, but little is known about its feasibility and safety in resource-limited settings.

Methods: We performed a randomized trial in southeastern Botswana of Mogen clamp and Plastibell, 2 commonly used devices for NMC. Follow-up visits occurred at 6 weeks and 4 months postpartum. Adverse events, parental satisfaction, and staff impressions were recorded.

Results: Of 302 male neonates randomized, 300 (99%) underwent circumcision, 153 (51%) with Mogen clamp, and 147 (49%) with Plastibell. There were no major adverse events in the Mogen clamp arm, but there were 2 major adverse events in the Plastibell arm (both were a proximally migrated ring that had to be removed by study staff). Minor adverse events were more common with the Mogen clamp compared with the Plastibell, specifically removal of too little skin and formation of skin bridges or adhesions (12 versus 1 and 11 versus 3, respectively, all P < 0.05). Five (3%) infants in the Mogen clamp arm and none in the Plastibell arm had minor bleeding (P = 0.03). More than 94% of mothers reported being highly or completely satisfied with the procedure.

Conclusions: NMC can be performed in Botswana with a low rate of adverse events and high parental satisfaction. Although the risk of migration and retention of the Plastibell is small, the Mogen clamp may be safer for NMC in regions where immediate emergent medical attention is not available.
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INTRODUCTION

Three large randomized trials have provided compelling evidence that male circumcision (MC) reduces men’s risk of heterosexually acquired HIV-1.1–3 The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends MC for HIV risk reduction and states that “Since neonatal circumcision is a less complicated and risky procedure than circumcision performed in young boys, adolescents or adults … countries should consider how to promote neonatal circumcision in a safe, culturally acceptable and sustainable manner.”45 Systematic reviews have confirmed that the neonatal period or infancy is the safest time for MC to be performed.5,6

Except as part of religious custom, neonatal male circumcision (NMC) is rarely performed in resource-limited settings, even in places where ritual circumcision is performed in older age groups. Previous studies in Botswana have reported, however, that self-reported acceptability of NMC among new mothers was >90%.7 Building upon this finding, we aimed to address the question of which technique would be safest and ultimately most sustainable for programmatic scale-up of NMC in Botswana. Although specialized devices are utilized for NMC in well-resourced settings to improve the safety of the procedure, none has been carefully studied in resource-limited settings. Commonly used devices (in
circumcising regions) include the Gomco Clamp, the Mogen Clamp, and the Plastibell. Based on the results of previous clinical studies regarding the respective ease of use of the devices, we elected to evaluate Mogen clamp and Plastibell.

METHODS

Study Design

This randomized clinical trial was designed to evaluate the Mogen clamp and Plastibell as candidates for scaleup of NMC programs in sub-Saharan Africa. The study was approved by the Botswana Ministry of Health’s Health Research and Development Committee and by Brigham and Women’s Hospital Institutional Review Board. Written informed consent was obtained from the mothers or their legal guardians (if mothers were <21 years, age of majority in Botswana) before neonates underwent randomization.

Subjects

Mothers and infants were enrolled between May 2009 and December 2010 at 3 hospitals in southeastern Botswana: Princess Marina Hospital in the capital city Gaborone, Scottish Livingstone Hospital in the village of Molepolole, and Deborah Retief Memorial Hospital in the village of Mochudi. Eligible mothers and infants were identified by study staff during visits to the maternity wards in the respective hospitals. Maternal eligibility criteria were Botswana citizenship, non-incarceration, and ability to follow up for 4 months. Infant eligibility criteria were male gender, gestational age ≥37 weeks, age ≤28 days of life, birth weight ≥2500 g, no evidence of neonatal sepsis or other illness requiring hospitalization, no family history of bleeding disorder, no genital or other abnormality that is a contraindication to NMC.

Randomization and Study Interventions

Infants were randomized centrally (using permuted blocks of 10 within each site) after they were brought to the study site for the procedure. Study staff performed a physical examination to exclude infants with abnormalities precluding circumcision.

All infants had approximately 1 g of eutectic mixture of local anesthetic (EMLA) applied to the penis and covered with an occlusive dressing; EMLA was wiped off before the procedure. Infants’ upper bodies and arms swaddled, and legs restrained by an assistant. Infants had the genital area, lower abdomen, and upper legs cleansed with chlorhexidine immediately before NMC. During the procedure, the infants were given concentrated sucrose solution either by gloved finger or by syringe to augment the anesthetic effect of EMLA, as has been previously described. The infants were given Vitamin K at birth, the standard of care in Botswana.

All circumcisions were performed by a study physician (N.N.) using clean, rather than sterile, techniques, as had been previously documented to be very safe. The study physician, who had no significant prior experience in performing NMC, was trained by a pediatric urologist before the study initiation and was deemed competent with both devices. All the infants were circumcised in accordance with the WHO’s “Manual for early infant MC under local anaesthesia” and described by our group previously. Briefly, the Mogen clamp is a reusable stainless steel device that requires a new, sterile scalpel blade for each NMC. The scalpel blades used for the study were all new (disposed after one use). The Plastibell is a disposable plastic device that comes in 6 sizes (1.1- to 1.7-cm diameter) and requires stainless steel scissors (the scissors may be reusable after proper disinfection). Both devices additionally require stainless steel lacrimal duct probes and hemostats. Between uses, the scissors, lacrimal duct probes, hemostats, and Mogen clamps all underwent high-level disinfection. All infants had the wound dressed with gauze and Vaseline. All reusable instruments were disinfected with bleach as per the WHO protocol, scrubbed with soap and water, and then disinfected with Cidex Opa according to the instructions for high-level disinfection provided in the package insert.

After circumcision, the infants were checked for postprocedure bleeding or other immediate complications. Mothers were asked to wait with the infant for 2 hours after the procedure before leaving the facility to ensure that there was no excessive bleeding and that the infant had urinated. Mothers were given Vaseline for wound care and written postprocedure care instructions that included a phone number to reach a physician 24 h/d, 7 d/wk, in case of any questions or concerns.

Follow-Up and Evaluation

Postprocedure follow-up visits were planned to coincide with the national vaccination/well-baby visits at 6 weeks and 4 months of infant age. At these visits, parents were asked about complications, and child outpatient cards were also reviewed for evidence of visits to nonstudy clinics or providers for adverse events potentially related to the procedure. At each follow-up, infants also had a physical examination, including inspection of the circumcision, by the study physician.

Outcomes

The primary study outcomes were adverse events and parental satisfaction by randomization arm. Secondary outcomes included staff impressions of the comparative safety, tolerability, and ease of use of the 2 techniques. We also measured the time taken to perform the procedure from the infant’s diaper being removed until the time it was replaced, and recorded the number of Plastibells opened so that correct sizing could be achieved.

Adverse events that were potentially related to NMC were defined before study initiation and categorized as bleeding, infection, structural, and other. Bleeding requiring only the application of pressure beyond the immediate postprocedure period was defined as a minor adverse event. Intervention other than pressure (e.g., suturing) was defined as a moderate adverse event. A separate clinic visit or hospitalization for bleeding from the circumcision site or need for IV fluids or blood products were categorized as major adverse events. Infection limited to
the circumcision site was defined as a minor adverse event. Soft-tissue infection spreading beyond the penis (lower abdominal wall, upper legs) was defined as a moderate adverse event. Systemic infection/sepsis was defined as a major adverse event. Removal of too much or incorrect tissue; removal of too little tissue requiring repeat procedure; or structural injury to glans, urethra, or shaft were defined as major adverse events, as were subsequent problems with urination or proximal migration/retention of a Plastibell ring requiring intervention for removal.

As to parental satisfaction, we asked parents to complete a structured questionnaire about their experiences with the procedure at the follow-up visits (including potential problems, and satisfaction measured by a visual analog scale from 0% to 100%). We defined highly or completely satisfied as a score of ≥90%. We asked whether parents would be likely to choose NMC for a future son and how likely parents would be to recommend the procedure to a relative or a friend who had a baby boy.

After all participant follow-up visits were completed, study staff were asked to fill out a short semistructured questionnaire of their subjective impressions of the 2 devices.

Statistical Analysis and Safety Monitoring
This study was designed to detect a 20% difference in parental satisfaction between the 2 techniques with 90% power assuming a significance level of 0.05 and 2-sided statistical test. This study was not powered to detect a statistically significant difference in major adverse events between the 2 devices (with previously reported rates of major complications as low as 0.2% in well-resourced settings, approximately 12,750 infants per arm would be required to detect a doubling in the complication rate). Instead, this study was designed to provide preliminary and descriptive data on the safety and sustainability of the Plastibell and Mogen clamp in Botswana that could be used to guide larger safety studies.

Differences in baseline characteristics between randomization arms were assessed using the Fisher exact test for dichotomous and categorical variables and the Student t-test for continuous variables. Analysis of primary outcomes of interest occurred only for infants who underwent circumcision. We compared parental satisfaction between randomization arms at 6 weeks and 4 months using the Fisher exact test. All statistical analyses were performed with SAS software version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

An independent Data Safety Monitoring Committee was responsible for analyzing adverse events at an interim analysis after the 100th baby circumcised had been seen in follow-up.

This trial is registered with ClinicalTrials.gov with the number NCT00971958.

Role of the Funding Source
The sponsors of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

RESULTS

Study Participants
Between May 2009 and December 2010, there were a total of 1200 eligible mother/son pairs in the 3 maternity wards. Working within daily time constraints, our staff invited 768 mothers to participate in the study; 547 (71%) agreed to complete the initial questionnaire and 506 (93%) said they would want NMC. Of these 506, 302 (60%) brought their neonate for NMC and provided written informed consent for the procedure [100/129 (78%) in Mochudi, 102/163 (63%) in Molepolole and 100/255 (39%) in Gaborone]. All 302 infants were enrolled and randomized: 155 to Mogen clamp and 147 to Plastibell. Demographic and clinical characteristics between the randomized groups were well balanced (Table 1). The maternal self-reported HIV status matched national prevalence rates for Botswana. Overall, 166 (55%) mothers chose to have NMC before hospital discharge and 136 (45%) chose to return from home for NMC.

Two neonates, randomized to Mogen clamp, were not circumcised because they developed fever after randomization making them ineligible for the procedure. Therefore, 300 infants were circumcised (153 by Mogen, 147 by Plastibell). All the infants were evaluated for immediate complications. A total of 295 (98%) infants had at least 1 subsequent follow-up visit and 258 (86%) infants completed both scheduled study visits (87% of Mogen clamp arm and 85% of Plastibell arm, \( P = 0.6 \)). Of the 5 infants with no follow-up after the immediate postprocedure assessment, 2 moved out of the study area (mothers reported by phone that babies were well) and 3 died (Fig. 1). Of these, 2 died of gastroenteritis and one died of suspected neonatal sepsis on his second day of life, with the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1. Baseline Characteristics of Study Population by Randomization Arm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mogen (N = 155) N (%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age (yrs), median (IQR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mothers married and/or cohabitating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal self-reported HIV infected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother on ARVs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neonatal gestational age at delivery (wks), median (IQR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neonatal birth weight (kg), median (IQR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neonatal age (d) at circumcision, median (IQR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Column % unless otherwise noted.*
death reviewed by the study Data Safety Monitoring Committee, Botswana Health Research and Development Committee, and Brigham and Women’s Hospital Institutional Review Board and not thought to be procedure related.

Procedures

No adverse events were associated with the EMLA/sucrose anesthesia. The median duration of EMLA cream application was 73 minutes, absolute range 10–286 minutes.

NMC with Mogen clamp required significantly less time than with Plastibell ($P < 0.0001$). The Mogen clamp required a mean of 5.5 minutes (95% confidence interval 5.1–5.8); median of 5 minutes [interquartile range (IQR) 4–6], total range 2–18 minutes versus Plastibell, which required a mean of 7.2 minutes (95% confidence interval 6.9–7.5); median 7 minutes (IQR 6–8) total range 4–17. The time required for the provider to perform an individual procedure did not change in either arm over the course of the study.

In 86 (59%) of Plastibell cases, only one device was opened to complete the procedure correctly with the appropriately sized bell. In 58 (40%) of cases 2 Plastibells were opened and in 3 cases (2%) 3 Plastibells were opened for a total of 211 devices opened to complete 147 procedures.

Parents reported a median of 7 days until the Plastibell ring fell off (IQR 5–8), with a range from 2 to 14 days.

Adverse Events

We observed 34 adverse events among 30 infants (10%), with 4 having 2 adverse events (Table 2). Two of the 30 experienced a major adverse event from Plastibells that migrated proximally to the corona. In each of these cases the ring had to be removed by the study staff (Fig. 2), 1 at day 14 and 1 at day 17. Neither infant suffered sequelae from the ring retention.

The most common adverse event was removal of too little skin (27 cases), as defined by incomplete visualization of the glans (¼ glans visible; 13 cases), or formation of adhesion/skin bridge (14 cases). Each of these minor adverse events was significantly more common with Mogen clamp than with Plastibell (Table 2). Five (3.2%) infants in the Mogen clamp arm, but none in the Plastibell arm, had minor bleeding immediately after the procedure (all controlled with local pressure; $P = 0.03$). No other bleeding episodes were reported.

No local or systemic infections were reported, and there were no cases in which too much skin (defined as > ½ shaft denuded) was removed, nor were there other structural complications. As noted earlier, none of the 3 infant deaths was judged to be procedure related.

The rate of complications declined over the study period by approximately 0.88 complications/100 procedures per month ($P = 0.0004$; Fig. 3).

Parental Satisfaction

Of 278 infants completing the 6-week follow-up visit, 96% of mothers whose neonate was circumcised with the Mogen clamp and 95% of those whose neonates were circumcised with the Plastibell reported being highly or completely satisfied with the procedure ($P = 0.5$). Of 278 mothers completing the 4-month follow-up visit, 95% of those whose neonate was circumcised with the Mogen clamp and 99% of those whose neonates were circumcised with the Plastibell reported being highly or completely satisfied with the procedure ($P = 0.04$). Regardless of randomization arm, between 96% and 99% of mothers stated they would recommend highly or completely the procedure to a friend or relative. Regardless of randomization arm, at least 97% of mothers reported that they would want NMC for another neonate should they have one.

Sixteen fathers whose neonates were circumcised with Mogen clamp responded to the 4-month questionnaire: 16 (100%) were highly or completely satisfied and would recommend NMC to a friend or relative; 15 (94%) would circumcise a future son. Eleven fathers whose neonates were circumcised with Plastibell responded to the 4-month

![Figure 1. Study schema of randomization and follow-up.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 2. Complications by Randomization Arm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mogen (N =153)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate/severe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too little skin removed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1/2 Glans visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adhesion/skin bridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too much skin removed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastibell proximal migration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Column % unless otherwise noted. 
†x² test.
questionnaire: All 11 (100%) were highly or completely satisfied, would recommend NMC to a friend or relative and would circumcise a future son. Mothers whose infants had experienced a complication were significantly less likely to be highly or completely satisfied at 6 weeks (24/28 versus 242/250, $P = 0.006$) and at 4 months (24/30 versus 246/248, $P < 0.0001$). Both mothers whose infant had a Plastibell retained were 100% satisfied at 4 months.

**Staff Impressions**

The physician who performed all NMCs and the 5 nurses and 3 recruiters who assisted him in the procedures all completed a questionnaire regarding their impressions. All 9 staff members reported a subjective impression that the Mogen clamp was better tolerated by the baby based on the length of the procedure and their impression of infant distress. Six of 9 staff considered the Mogen procedure as easier to perform, one believed that the Plastibell was easier, and 2 felt that the 2 devices were similarly easy to use. Five staff thought the Plastibell was safer than the Mogen clamp; 3 thought the Mogen clamp was safer; and one thought the 2 were equally safe. Five staff thought the neonate’s family preferred the Mogen; 1 thought the Plastibell was preferred; and 3 thought there was no difference in family preference. When asked which device they would want to be used for their own child, 4 would choose Plastibell; 2 would choose Mogen clamp; and 3 had no preference. When asked which device should be used for program scale-up, 4 would choose Mogen clamp; 3 would choose Plastibell; and 1 had no preference.

**DISCUSSION**

We performed the first randomized study of Mogen clamp and Plastibell for NMC in a resource-limited setting using clean techniques and found the procedure to have a low rate of adverse events. Reported acceptability of the procedure was high (93% of those interviewed) and although actual uptake of the procedure in our study (55% of all study participants) was higher than that previously reported in sub-Saharan settings (11%), more must be learned about barriers to uptake for scale-up to be successful. Parents reported a high level of satisfaction with NMC and it is possible that, with increased knowledge about and experience with NMC in this setting, parents will be more likely to choose the procedure for their sons.

Although this study was not powered to detect a statistically significant difference in adverse events, several important differences were found between the Mogen clamp and the Plastibell. The rate of major adverse events was low overall and was limited to circumcisions done with the Plastibell. Because of the potential for serious morbidity and even mortality if a neonate with a retained Plastibell is not brought quickly to medical attention, we defined a retained Plastibell as a major adverse event. Potential complications of a retained Plastibell include, but are not limited to, urinary retention, bladder rupture and necrosis of the glans.

Almost all potential complications with the Mogen happen during or immediately following the procedure, whereas migration and retention of the Plastibell are late complications and would depend on caregiver recognition and subsequent management by local (sometimes remote) health facilities. Therefore, the Plastibell might not be a good choice for use in areas with low medical literacy or poor access to emergency medical services.

We observed more minor adverse events with the Mogen clamp compared with the Plastibell, the most frequent one was too little skin being removed. This complication became less common as the study progressed and as the provider became more comfortable with the instrument and better able to gauge how much tissue to remove. The use of a surgical pen, recommended for NMC by the WHO, to mark the corona and delineate landmarks before the procedure, could reduce the frequency of this complication. This study was conducted before the publication of WHO guidelines and a surgical pen was not used. We would strongly recommend the use of a surgical pen for all NMC procedures to avoid the problem of removing too little skin; if not enough foreskin is removed, the protective effect of MC against HIV and other sexually transmitted infectious could be reduced.
Although we did not observe any injury to the glans in our study, partial amputation of the glans has been reported with the Mogen clamp.\textsuperscript{23–25} We did not observe excess bleeding with the Plastibell, but this too has been reported.\textsuperscript{16}

One drawback to both techniques is that, other than the disposable Plastibell and a disposable scalp knife blade, all instruments require high-level disinfection or sterilization between successive uses. Another logistic complexity that must be considered with the Plastibell is the importance of having all 6 sizes available at all times: bells that are too large or too small for the child may be more likely to result in complications such as proximal migration and retention, highlighting the importance of supply-chain management. This is especially crucial for NMC programs because successful scale-up will depend on providing the services at local health facilities where women either deliver or bring babies for vaccinations. Remote health facilities would have to be able to maintain an adequate supply chain to ensure safety.

Staff involved in the study universally thought the Mogen clamp was better tolerated by the baby than the Plastibell (and indeed the procedure duration was significantly shorter with the Mogen clamp). The only previously published randomized study of Mogen clamp and Plastibell, which was conducted in the United States, reported that the Mogen clamp was “associated with less pain and discomfort [for the infant]”.\textsuperscript{26}

Finally, the issue of neonatal mortality must be addressed. In many parts of sub-Saharan Africa neonatal mortality rates continue to be unacceptably high and may be slow to decline. Where diagnostic capabilities are limited, it can be difficult to make a definitive diagnosis as to the cause of a neonate’s death. Therefore, we would strongly recommend that if a provider detects any sign of neonatal illness, that the NMC procedure be postponed until the neonate is deemed clinically well. Although NMC has been shown to be very safe in resource-rich settings\textsuperscript{14,18} and should be equally safe in resource-limited settings, it is important that providers perform NMC only when the risks of the procedure can be absolutely minimized, and that the public be educated about the safety of NMC so that neonatal deaths are not erroneously attributed to the procedure.

Limitations

The study was small and therefore unable to detect all potential adverse events that might occur with programmatic scale-up of NMC. Programmatic monitoring and evaluation of uptake, outcomes and complications will be necessary as the procedure is taken to scale to ascertain true rates of adverse events.

Also, to study the devices themselves while reducing other variables that could influence outcomes, one trained physician performed all the NMCs, therefore our results may not be applicable to settings where nonphysicians would be performing the procedure. Furthermore, our procedures were performed in on the premises of district hospitals and our findings may not be generalizable to lower-resourced clinical settings.

Although fathers can be important decision makers with regard to MC,\textsuperscript{27,28} few fathers participated in our study; at the time of delivery <30% of women were married to or cohabitating with a partner. Furthermore, in Botswana there is a traditional period of confinement for mothers and infants during which fathers are discouraged from visiting. This may have reduced the availability of fathers to participate in the follow-up visits.

CONCLUSIONS

NMC conducted under clean, rather than sterile, conditions can be performed safely in Botswana. We observed only 2 major adverse events, both being a proximally migrated, retained Plastibell ring that had to be removed by study staff. In areas with limited access to emergency medical care, we consider the Mogen clamp to be a safer choice than the Plastibell for public sector scaleup in HIV-prevention programs. Innovative devices for NMC that would improve the safety and reduce the complexity of disinfection and supply-chain management would be an important advance for use in resource-limited settings.
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