

Journal Pre-proof

Maternal and perinatal outcomes in high vs low risk-pregnancies affected by SARS-COV-2 infection (Phase-2): The WAPM (World Association of Perinatal Medicine) working group on COVID-19



Francesco D'Antonio MD , Cihat Sen , Daniele DI Mascio ,
Alberto Galindo , Cecilia Villalain , Ignacio Herraiz , Resul Arisoy ,
Ali Ovayolu , Hasan Erođlu , Manuel Guerra Canales ,
Subhashini Ladella , Liviu Cojocar , Ozhan Turan , Sifa Turan ,
Eran Hadar , Noa A. Brzezinski-Sinai , Sarah Dollinger MD ,
Ozlem Uyaniklar , Sakine Rahimli Ocakouglu , Zeliha Atak ,
Tanja Premru-Srsen , Lilijana Kornhauser-Cerar ,
Mirjam Druškovič , Liana Ples , Reyhan Gündüz , Elif Ağaçayak ,
Javier Alfonso Schvartzman , Mercedes Negri Malbran ,
Marco Liberati , Francesca Di Sebastiano , Ludovica Ortoni ,
Chiara Cerra , Danilo Buca , Angelo Cagnacci , Arianna Ramone ,
Fabio Barra , Andrea Carosso , Chiara Benedetto ,
Stefano Cosma , Axelle Pintiaux , Caroline Daelemans ,
Elena Costa , Ayşegül Özel , Murat Muğcu ,
Jesús S Jimenez Lopez , Clara Alvarado , Anna Luengo Piqueras ,
Dolores Esteban Oliva , Giovanni Battista Luca Schera ,
Nicola Volpe , Tiziana Frusca , Igor Samardjiski ,
Slagjana Simeonova , Irena Aleksioska Papestiev , Javier Hojman ,
Ilgin Turkcuoglu , Antonella Cromi , Antonio Simone Laganà ,
Fabio Ghezzi , Angelo Sirico , Alessandra Familiari ,
Giovanni Scambia , Zulfya Khodjaeva Gennady T. Sukhikh ,
Ksenia A. Gorina , Renato Augusto Moreira de Sa , Mariana Vaz ,
Otto Henrique May Feuerschuetz , Anna Nunzia Della Gatta ,
Aly Youssef , Gaetana Di Donna , Alicia Martinez-Varea ,
Gabriela Loscalzo , José Morales Roselló , Vedran Stefanovic ,
Irmeli Nupponen , Kaisa Nelskylä , Rodrigo Ayala ,
Rebeca Garrote Molpeceres , Asunción Pino Vázquez ,
Fabrizio Sandri , Ilaria Cataneo , Marinella Lenzi ,
Esra Tustas Haberal , Erasmo Huertas , Amadeo Sanchez ,
Pedro Arango , Amanda Bermejo ,
María Monica Gonzalez Alcantara , Gökhan Göynüner ,
Erhan Okuyan , Ciuhodaru Madalina , Ana Concheiro Guisan ,
Alejandra Martínez Schulte , Valentina Esposito ,
Valentina De Robertis , Snezana Zdjelar , Milan Lackovic ,
Sladjana Mihajlovic , Nelly Jekova , Gabriele Saccone ,
Mehmet Musa Aslan , Maria Carmela Di Dedda , Maisuri Chalid ,
Jose Enrique Moros Canache , George Daskalakis ,
Panos Antsaklis , Enrique Criado Vega , Elisa Cueto ,
Chiara Tacaliti , Alicia Yeliz Aykanat , Şerife Özlem Genç ,
Bernd Froessler , Petya Angelova Radulova , Danila Morano ,
Beatrice Bianchi , Maria Giulia Lombana Marino ,
Gabriella Meccariello , Bindu Rohatgi , Antonio Schiattarella ,
Maddalena Morlando , Nicola Colacurci , Andrea Villasco ,
Nicoletta Biglia , Ana Luiza Santos Marques , Alessandra Gatti ,
Daniela Luvero , Roberto Angioli , Alejandro Pittaro ,
Albert Lila MD , Blanka Zlatohlávková

PII: S2589-9333(21)00024-0
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajogmf.2021.100329>
Reference: AJOGMF 100329

To appear in: *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology MFM*

Received date: 12 November 2020
Revised date: 1 February 2021
Accepted date: 10 February 2021

Please cite this article as: Francesco D'Antonio MD , Cihat Sen , Daniele DI Mascio , Alberto Galindo , Cecilia Villalain , Ignacio Herraiz , Resul Arısoy , Ali Ovayolu , Hasan Eroğlu , Manuel Guerra Canales , Subhashini Ladella , Liviu Cojocar , Ozhan Turan , Sifa Turan , Eran Hadar , Noa A. Brzezinski-Sinai , Sarah Dollinger MD , Ozlem Uyaniklar , Sakine Rahimli Ocakouglu , Zeliha Atak , Tanja Premru-Srsen , Lilijana Kornhauser-Cerar , Mirjam Druškovič , Liana Ples , Reyhan Gündüz , Elif Ağaayak , Javier Alfonso Schvartzman , Mercedes Negri Malbran , Marco Liberati , Francesca Di Sebastiano , Ludovica Ortoni , Chiara Cerra , Danilo Buca , Angelo Cagnacci , Arianna Ramone , Fabio Barra , Andrea Carosso , Chiara Benedetto , Stefano Cosma , Axelle Pintiaux , Caroline Daelemans , Elena Costa , Ayşegül Özel , Murat Muhçu , Jesús S Jimenez Lopez , Clara Alvarado , Anna Luengo Piqueras , Dolores Esteban Oliva , Giovanni Battista Luca Schera , Nicola Volpe , Tiziana Frusca , Igor Samardjiski , Slagjana Simeonova , Irena Aleksioska Papestiev , Javier Hojman , Ilgin Turkcuoglu , Antonella Cromi , Antonio Simone Laganà , Fabio Ghezzi , Angelo Sirico , Alessandra Familiari , Giovanni Scambia , Zulfiya Khodjaeva Gennady T. Sukhikh , Ksenia A. Gorina , Renato Augusto Moreira de Sa , Mariana Vaz , Otto Henrique May Feuerschuetten , Anna Nunzia Della Gatta , Aly Youssef , Gaetana Di Donna , Alicia Martinez-Varea , Gabriela Loscalzo , José Morales Roselló , Vedran Stefanovic , Irmeli Nupponen , Kaisa Nelskylä , Rodrigo Ayala , Rebeca Garrote Molpeceres , Asunción Pino Vázquez , Fabrizio Sandri , Ilaria Cataneo , Marinella Lenzi , Esra Tustas Haberal , Erasmo Huertas , Amadeo Sanchez , Pedro Arango , Amanda Bermejo , María Monica Gonzalez Alcantara , Gökhan Göynüner , Erhan Okuyan , Ciuhodaru Madalina , Ana Concheiro Guisan , Alejandra Martínez Schulte , Valentina Esposito , Valentina De Robertis , Snezana Zdjelar , Milan Lackovic , Sladjana Mihajlovic , Nelly Jekova , Gabriele Saccone , Mehmet Musa Aslan , Maria Carmela Di Dedda , Maisuri Chalid , Jose Enrique Moros Canache , George Daskalakis , Panos Antsaklis , Enrique Criado Vega , Elisa Cueto , Chiara Taccaliti , Alicia Yeliz Aykanat , Şerife Özlem Genç , Bernd Froessler , Petya Angelova Radulova , Danila Morano , Beatrice Bianchi , Maria Giulia Lombana Marino , Gabriella Meccariello , Bindu Rohatgi , Antonio Schiattarella , Maddalena Morlando , Nicola Colacurci , Andrea Villasco , Nicoletta Biglia , Ana Luiza Santos Marques , Alessandra Gatti , Daniela Luvero , Roberto Angioli , Alejandro Pittaro , Albert Lila MD , Blanka Zlatohlávková , Maternal and perinatal outcomes in high vs low risk-pregnancies affected by SARS-COV-2 infection (Phase-2): The WAPM (World Association of Perinatal Medicine) working group on COVID-19, *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology MFM* (2021), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajogmf.2021.100329>

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Maternal and perinatal outcomes in high vs low risk-pregnancies affected by SARS-COV-2 infection (Phase-2): The WAPM (World Association of Perinatal Medicine) working group on COVID-19

Short title: Outcome of SARS-COV-2 in high vs low risk pregnancies

Correspondence:

Francesco D'Antonio, MD, PhD

Center for High-Risk Pregnancy and Fetal Care - Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Chieti, Italy.

Via dei Vestini 31 - 66100 Chieti, Italy. Email address: francesco.dantonio@unich.it

Disclosure: Authors report no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval: Ethical Committee of Federico II University of Naples, nr. 145/2020

The WAPM (The World Association of Perinatal Medicine) working group on COVID-19

It has been listed here accordingly to their contribution:

Francesco D'Antonio MD

Centre for High-Risk Pregnancy and Fetal Care, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Chieti, Chieti Italy

Cihat Sen

Perinatal Medicine Foundation

Department of Perinatal Medicine, Memorial Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey

Daniele DI Mascio

Department of Maternal and Child Health and Urological Sciences, Sapienza University of Rome, Italy

Alberto Galindo

Cecilia Villalain

Ignacio Herraiz

Fetal Medicine Unit, Maternal and Child Health and Development Network, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University Hospital 12 de Octubre, Complutense University of Madrid, Madrid, Spain

Resul Arisoy

Department of Perinatal Medicine, Memorial Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey

Ali Ovayolu

Hasan Eroğlu

Cengiz Gokcek Women's and Children's Hospital, Gaziantep, Turkey

Manuel Guerra Canales

Hospital Clinico San Jose, Chile Santiago / Chile

Subhashini Ladella

UCSF Fresno, Community Medical Centers, Fresno, California, USA

Liviu Cojocaru

Ozhan Turan

Sifa Turan

Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Science, University of Maryland Medical Center, Baltimore, MD, USA

Eran Hadar

Noa A. Brzezinski-Sinai

Sarah Dollinger MD

Helen Schneider Hospital for Women, Rabin Medical Center, Petach-Tikva and Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv, Israel

Ozlem Uyaniklar

Sakine Rahimli Ocakouglu

Zeliha Atak

Bursa City Hospital, Bursa, Turkey

Tanja Premru-Srsen

Lilijana Kornhauser-Cerar

Mirjam Druškovič

Department of Perinatology, University Medical Center, Medical Faculty, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia

Liana Ples

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Saint John Hospital, UMF Carol Davila Bucharest, Romania

Reyhan Gündüz

Elif Ağaçayak

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Dicle, Diyarbakır, Turkey

Javier Alfonso Schwartzman

MERCEDES NEGRI MALBRAN

Centro de Educación Médica e Investigaciones Clínicas "Norberto Quirno", Buenos Aires, Argentina

Marco Liberati

Francesca Di Sebastiano

Ludovica Oronzi

Chiara Cerra

Danilo Buca

Centre for High-Risk Pregnancy and Fetal Care, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Chieti, Chieti Italy

Angelo Cagnacci

Arianna Ramone

Fabio Barra

Academic Unit of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, IRCCS Ospedale Policlinico San Martino, Genova, Italy

Andrea Carosso

Chiara Benedetto

Stefano Cosma

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Sant'Anna Hospital, University of Turin, Turin, Italy

Axelle Pintiaux

Caroline Daelemans

Elena Costa

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Hospital Erasme, Cliniques Universitaires de Bruxells, Brussels, Belgium

Ayşegül Özel

Murat Muhçu

UNIVERSITY OF HEALTH SCIENCES, UMRANIYE TRAINING AND RESEARCH HOSPITAL, Turkey Istanbul

Jesús S Jimenez Lopez

Hospital Regional Universitario de Málaga, Malaga, Spain

Clara Alvarado

Clínica del Country, Bogotá, Colombia

Anna Luengo Piqueras

Dolores Esteban Oliva

Hospital Universitari Germans Trias i Pujol, Barcelona, Spain

Giovanni Battista Luca Schera

Nicola Volpe

Tiziana Frusca

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Parma, Parma, Italy

Igor Samardjiski

Slagjana Simeonova

Irena Aleksioska Papestiev

University Clinic of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Skopje, North Macedonia

Javier Hojman

División Obstetricia / Hospital de Clínicas "José de San Martín", Buenos Aires, Argentina

Ilgin Turkcuoglu

Sanko University School of Medicine Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Gaziantep, Turkey

Antonella Cromi

Antonio Simone Laganà

Fabio Ghezzi

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, "Filippo Del Ponte" Hospital, University of Insubria, Varese, Italy

Angelo Sirico

Alessandra Familiari

Giovanni Scambia

Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A Gemelli IRCCS - Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Rome, Italy

Zulfiya Khodjaeva Gennady T. Sukhikh

Ksenia A. Gorina

National Medical Research Center for Obstetrics, Gynecology and Perinatology, Moscow, Russia

Renato Augusto Moreira de Sa

Mariana Vaz

Assistência Obstétrica do Grupo Perinatal, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Otto Henrique May Feuerschuetze

Departamento de Ginecologia e Obstetrícia, Hospital Universitário Polydoro Ernani, Santiago, Brazil

Anna Nunzia Della Gatta

Aly Youssef

Gaetana Di Donna

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Bologna, Sant'Orsola- Malpighi University Hospital, Bologna, Italy.

Alicia Martinez-Varea

Gabriela Loscalzo

José Morales Roselló

Servicio de Obstetricia y Ginecología, Hospital Universitario y Politécnico La Fe, Valencia, Spain

Vedran Stefanovic

Irmeli Nupponen

Kaisa Nelskylä

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Neonatology and Intensive Care, Helsinki University Hospital and University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

RODRIGO AYALA

ABC MEDICAL CENTER, Mexico City, Mexico

Rebeca Garrote Molpeceres

ASUNCIÓN PINO VÁZQUEZ

University Clinic Hospital Of Valladolid, Valladolid, Spain

Fabrizio Sandri

Ilaria Cataneo

Marinella Lenzi

Unit of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Ospedale Maggiore, Bologna, Italy

Esra Tustas Haberal

Hisar Intercontinental Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey

Erasmus Huertas

Amadeo Sanchez

Pedro Arango

Instituto Nacional Materno Perinatal, Lima, Peru

Amanda Bermejo

Hospital Universitario de Móstoles, Mostoles, Spain

María Monica Gonzalez Alcantara

Hospital Juan A. Fernandez, Buenos, Argentina

Gökhan Göynümer

Düzce University Medicine Faculty / Department of Perinatology, Düzce, Turkey

Erhan Okuyan

Batman Maternity and Child Health Hospital, Batman, Turkey

Ciuhodaru Madalina

Universitatea de Medicină și Farmacie Grigore T. Popa Iași, Iasi, Romania

Ana Concheiro Guisan

Alvaro Cunqueiro University Hospital Of Vigo, Vigo, Spain

Alejandra Martínez Schulte

Hospital Angeles Lomas, Mexico City, Mexico

Valentina Esposito

University of Milan, Milan, Italy

Valentina De Robertis

Fetal Medicine Unit, Di Venere Hospital, Bari, Italy

Snezana Zdjelar

Milan Lackovic

Sladjana Mihajlovic

Kbc Dr Dragisa Misovic Dedinje Belgrade, Serbia

Nelly Jekova

University Hospital, Department of Neonatology, Obstetrics and Gynecology, Sofia, Bulgaria

Gabriele Saccone

Department of Neuroscience, Reproductive Sciences and Dentistry, School of Medicine, University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy

Mehmet Musa Aslan

Sakarya University Education and Research Hospital, Sakarya, Turkey

Maria Carmela Di Dedda

Department Gynecology and Obstetrics, Fornaroli Hospital, Magenta, Italy

Maisuri Chalid

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Hasanuddin University, Makassar, Indonesia

JOSE ENRIQUE MOROS CANACHE

CENTRO MEDICO LA PAZ DE BATA, DEPARTAMENTO DE GINECOLOGIA Y OBSTETRICIA, BATA, GUINEA ECUATORIAL

George Daskalakis

Panos Antsaklis

Alexandra Hospital – National and Kapodistrian, University of Athens, Athens, Greece

Enrique Criado Vega

Hospital Clínico "San Carlos"/Division of Neonatology, Madrid, Spain

Elisa Cueto

Hospital Virgen De La Luz, Cuenca, Spain

Chiara Taccaliti

Ospedale Generale Regionale "F. Miulli", Acquaviva delle Fonti, Italy

Alicia Yeliz Aykanat

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Istanbul University-Cerrahpasa Medical School, Istanbul, Turkey

Şerife Özlem Genç

Karaman Public Hospital, Karaman, Turkey

Bernd Froessler

Department of Anaesthesia, Lyell McEwin Hospital, Adelaide, Australia

Petya Angelova Radulova

University hospital of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Sofia, Bulgaria

Danila Morano

Beatrice Bianchi

Maria Giulia Lombana Marino

Department of Medical Sciences, Section of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Azienda Ospedaliera-Universitaria Sant' Anna, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy

Gabriella Meccariello

Ostetricia e Ginecologia Universitaria - Ospedale S. Anna e S. Sebastiano, Caserta, Italy

Bindu Rohatgi

Sulochana clinic, Kolkata India

Antonio Schiattarella

Maddalena Morlando

Nicola Colacurci

Department of Woman, Child and General and Specialized Surgery, University of Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, Naples, Italy

Andrea Villasco

Nicoletta Biglia

Academic Division of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Mauriziano Umberto I Hospital, University of Turin, Turin, Italy

Ana Luiza Santos Marques

Instituto de MedFetal e Diagnóstico por Imagem do Amazonas, Manausi Brazil

Alessandra Gatti

Daniela Luvero

Roberto Angioli

Campus Bio Medico, University of Rome, Rome, Italy

Alejandro Pittaro

Hospital Raul F. Larcade, Buenos Aires, Argentina

Albert Lila MD,⁶⁶

Regional Hospital Gjakova, Kosovo, Republic of Kosovo

Blanka Zlatohlávková

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Division of Neonatology, General Hospital in Prague and First Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

Keywords: SARS-COV-2; COVID19; Coronavirus; infection; pregnancy

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To evaluate maternal and perinatal outcomes in high compared to low-risk pregnancies complicated by SARS-COV-2 infection.

Methods: This was a multinational retrospective cohort study including women with laboratory-confirmed SARS-COV-2 from 76 centers from 25 different countries in Europe, United States, South America, Asia and Australia from 04 April 2020 till 28 October 2020. The primary outcome was a composite measure of maternal mortality and morbidity including admission to intensive care unit (ICU), use of mechanical ventilation, or death. Secondary outcome was a composite measure of adverse perinatal outcome, including miscarriage, fetal loss, neonatal (NND) and perinatal (PND) death, and admission to neonatal intensive care unit. All these outcomes were assessed in high-risk compared to low-risk pregnancies. Pregnancies were considered as high risk in case of either pre-existing chronic medical conditions pre-existing pregnancy or obstetric disorders occurring in pregnancy. Fisher-test and logistic regression analysis were used to analyze the data.

Results: 887 singleton pregnancies tested positive to SARS-COV-2 at RT-PCR nasal and pharyngeal swab were included in the study. The risk of composite adverse maternal outcome was higher in high compared to low risk-pregnancies with an OR of 1.52 (95% CI 1.03-2.24; $p=0.035$). Likewise, women carrying a high risk-pregnancies were also at higher risk of hospital admission (OR: 1.48, 95% CI 1.07-2.04; $p=0.002$), presence of severe respiratory symptoms (OR: 2.13, 95% CI 1.41-3.21; $p=0.001$), admission to ICU (OR: 2.63, 95% CI 1.42-4.88) and invasive mechanical ventilation (OR: 2.65, 95% CI 1.19- 5.94; $p=.002$). When exploring perinatal outcomes, high-risk pregnancies were also at high risk of adverse perinatal outcome with an OR of 1.78 (95% CI 1.15-2.72; $p=0.009$). However, such association was mainly due to the higher incidence of miscarriage in high risk compared to low risk pregnancies (5.3% vs 1.6%, $p=0.008$), while there was no difference as regard as the other explored outcomes between the two study groups. At logistic regression analysis, maternal age (OR: 1.12, 95% CI 1.02-1.22, $p=0.023$) and the presence of a high-risk

pregnancies (OR: 4.21, 95% CI 3.90-5.11, $p < 0.001$) were independently associated with adverse maternal outcome.

Conclusions: High-risk pregnancies complicated by SARS-COV-2 infection are at higher risk of adverse maternal outcome compared to low-risk gestations.

Journal Pre-proof

INTRODUCTION

Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-COV-2) infection spread towards the end of 2019 and nowadays is still a major issue of Public Health, with new cases of infection, hospitalization, admission to Intensive Care Unit (ICU) and even deaths increasing on a daily basis worldwide.¹

From the beginning of pandemic, pregnancy has been claimed to be potentially associated with a higher burden of maternal mortality and morbidity compared to the general population.³⁻⁹

The severity of SARS-COV-2 infection in the general population has been reported to be significantly influenced by the presence of different risk factors. Among these, age and comorbidities were found to be the strongest predictors of hospital admission, critical illness and mortality.¹⁰

Despite the multitude of reports published on SARS-COV-2 infection during pregnancy, only few studies were designed to ascertain whether the presence of either pre-existing or pregnancy-related conditions (i.e. those usually considered as high risk pregnancies) might increase the risk of both maternal and fetal adverse outcome.

Thus, the aim of this secondary analysis was to elucidate whether high risk pregnancies were at higher risk of adverse maternal and perinatal outcomes in a multinational cohort of pregnant women tested positive with SARS-COV-2 infection.

METHODS

Study design and participants

This was a multinational, prospective cohort study involving all pregnant women with a laboratory-confirmed SARS-COV-2 infection, diagnosed from 04 April 2020 till 28 October 2020. This study was designed as an open and web-based database study in 76 centers from 25 different countries (Argentina,

Australia, Belgium, Brazil, Colombia, Czech Republic, Finland, Germany, Greece, Israel, Italy, North Macedonia, Peru, Portugal, Republic of Kosovo, Romania, Russia, Serbia, Slovenia, Spain, Turkey, and United States) by the WAPM Covid-19 Study Group. The study was endorsed by the World Association of Perinatal Medicine. The first phase of the study has already been published which comprises the data from 04 April 2020 till 01 June 2020.⁴ After that, some additional information for the study was added into the database and reevaluated by the contributors accordingly for the new database as WAPM Covid-19 Study Phase-2. Only confirmed cases with PCR test are included in the evaluation.

SARS-COV-2 was diagnosed on the basis of The World Health Organization (WHO) interim guidance.¹¹ A confirmed case of SARS-COV-2 was defined as a positive result on real-time reverse-transcriptase-polymerase-chain-reaction (RT-PCR) assay of nasal and pharyngeal swab specimens.¹²⁻¹³ Inclusion criteria were women tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 at RT-PCR assay of nasal and pharyngeal swab due to symptoms or exposure with infected individuals. Neonates from mother positive to SARS-COV-2 were usually tested within 24 hours after delivery with RT-PCR assay of nasal and pharyngeal swab.

Data on recent exposure history, clinical symptoms or signs, laboratory findings, maternal and perinatal outcomes were collected. All medical records were anonymized and sent to the coordinator center at University of Naples Federico II (Naples, Italy) through The World Association of Perinatal Medicine (WAPM) data platform or via an encrypted Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) data management platform. Data were entered into a computerized database and cross-checked. In case of missing data, requests for clarification were sent to the coordinator of each participating center.

Outcomes

The primary outcome of the study was to compare the incidence of a composite measure of maternal mortality and morbidity including at least one of the following: admission to intensive care unit (ICU), use of mechanical ventilation (defined as intubation, need for continuous positive airway pressure, extra-

corporeal membrane oxygenation), severe respiratory symptoms (including dyspnea and shortness of breath) or death in high vs low risk pregnancies.

Secondary outcomes were a composite score of adverse perinatal outcome, including miscarriage, intra-uterine death, neonatal death, admission to neonatal intensive care unit and the individual components of both primary and secondary outcomes. Miscarriage was defined as pregnancy loss before 22 weeks of gestation, fetal loss at or after 22 weeks of gestation, while neonatal death as death of a live-born infant within the first 28 days of life. Perinatal death was defined as fetal loss and NND.

Further details on criteria for maternal admission to ICU and neonatal admission to NICU are more extensively described elsewhere.⁹

All these outcomes were assessed in high-risk compared to low-risk pregnancies. Pregnancies were considered as high risk in case of either pre-existing chronic medical conditions pre-existing pregnancy (pregestational diabetes, chronic hypertension or autoimmune disease) or obstetric disorders occurring in pregnancy (pre-eclampsia, gestational hypertension or gestational diabetes mellitus). Regarding the specific medical complications affecting or pre-existing pregnancy, chronic hypertension was defined as hypertension that precedes pregnancy or was present on at least two occasions before the 20th week of gestation. Pre-eclampsia was defined as the new onset of hypertension and proteinuria or the new onset of hypertension and significant end-organ dysfunction with or without proteinuria after 20 weeks of gestation or postpartum in a previously normotensive woman, while gestational hypertension as a blood pressure $\geq 140/90$ mmHg on two occasions (at least 4 hours apart) during pregnancy after 20 weeks' gestation in a previously normotensive patient, without the presence of proteinuria or other clinical features suggestive of preeclampsia. Finally, gestational diabetes was defined as any degree of glucose intolerance with onset or first recognition during pregnancy after a 75 gr or 100 gr of oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT9 according each country specific guideline).

In order to elucidate the rate of vertical transmission, all newborn from the present cohort were tested at birth.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) v. 19.0 (IBM Inc., Armonk, NY, USA) and using Stata, version 13.1 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, 2014). Continuous variables were reported as means \pm standard deviation (SD), while categorical as numbers (percentage). Univariate comparisons of dichotomous data were performed with the use of the chi-square test with continuity correction. Comparisons between groups were performed with the use of the T-test to test group means by assuming equal within-group variances for parametric data, and with the use of Wilcoxon and Mann-Whitney tests for nonparametric data. Multivariate analysis was performed to evaluate potential predictors of the primary outcome. Logistic regression was reported as adjusted odd ratio (aOR) with 95% confidence interval (CI). P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

General characteristics of the included women

During the study period, 887 women with singleton viable high-risk (122 with chronic pre-existing and 86 with medical complication occurring during gestation) pregnancies at the time of assessment, positive to SARS-COV-2 at RT-PCR nasal and pharyngeal swab, in 72 centers from 22 different countries were included in the study.

General characteristic of the study population is reported in Table 1. Maternal age was high in high risk compared to low risk pregnancies (34.16 ± 6.8 vs 31.39 ± 5.5 , $p < 0.001$), while there was no difference in the mean gestational age at diagnosis of infection ($p = 0.425$), nulliparity ($p = 0.313$) and smoking status ($p = 0.140$) (Table 1). Regarding the obstetrics outcomes, women with high-risk pregnancies had a higher incidence of preterm delivery < 37 weeks of gestation compared to non-high-risk pregnancies (15.9% vs 9.0%, $p = 0.007$), while there was no difference between the two groups as regard the occurrence of preterm delivery < 34 weeks of gestation. Furthermore, high-risk pregnancies were more likely delivered by cesarean section compared to controls (30.3% vs 22.4%, $p = 0.026$). There were three cases of maternal deaths. The first maternal death occurred in a high-risk pregnancy with type II diabetes mellitus. She presented at 33 weeks of gestation with stillbirth. She was febrile and unconscious. Chest radiography showed pulmonary infiltrates and atelectasis with elevated left hemidiaphragm. The woman was admitted to ICU and intubated but died with acute kidney injury and cardiac arrest. The second and third death occurred in two non-high risk-pregnant women age 25 and 27 years old respectively presenting to the emergency department with severe respiratory symptoms requiring admission to ICU. Details of these three maternal deaths are reported in a previous study from our group.⁴

The risk of composite adverse maternal outcome was higher in high compared to low risk-pregnancies with an OR of 1.52 (95% CI 1.03-2.24; $p = 0.035$) (Table 2). Likewise, women carrying a high risk-pregnancies were also at higher risk of hospital admission (OR: 1.48, 95% CI 1.07-2.04; $p = 0.002$), presence of severe

respiratory symptoms (aOR: 2.13, 95% CI 1.41-3.21; $p= 0.001$), admission to ICU (aOR: 2.63, 95% CI 1.42-4.88) and invasive mechanical ventilation (OR: 2.65, 95% CI 1.19- 5.94; $p= .002$).

When exploring perinatal outcomes, high-risk pregnancies were also at high risk of adverse perinatal outcome with an aOR of 1.78 (95% CI .15-2.72; $p= 0.009$). However, such association was mainly due to the higher incidence of miscarriage in high risk compared to low-risk pregnancies (5.3% vs 1.6%, $p= 0.008$), while there was no difference in the occurrence of either fetal loss ($p= 0.334$), NND ($p= 1.000$), PND ($p= 0.516$) and admission to NICU ($p= 0.772$) between high- and low-risk pregnancies complicated by Covid-19 infection.

At logistic regression analysis, maternal age (aOR: 1.12, 95% CI 1.02-1.22 per 10 year increase, $p= 0.023$) and the presence of a high risk pregnancies (aOR: 4.21, 95% CI 3.90-5.11, $p<0.001$) were independently associated with adverse maternal outcome, while maternal age (aOR: 1.33, 95% CI 1.19-1.47 per 10 year increase, $p= 0.019$) was the only factor associated with adverse perinatal outcome.

DISCUSSION

Main findings

This secondary analysis of the WAPM study - a multinational cohort study including 388 pregnant women with confirmed SARS-COV-2 from 72 different centers – showed that in high risk pregnancies the rates composite adverse maternal outcome, severe respiratory symptoms and invasive ventilation are significantly higher, compared with low risk pregnancies. Conversely, no difference was found when assessing fetal outcomes in high compared with low risk pregnancies.

Strengths and limitations

Strength and limitation of this analysis are essentially those inherent in the primary analysis.⁴ The enrollment of only of women with laboratory-confirmed SARS-COV-2, the large sample, the inclusion of both University Hospitals and Community Hospitals from different countries, and multitude of outcomes explored, represented the major strengths of the study. The major limitation was that the study population came mostly from women referred for suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection, due to symptoms or exposure, and consequently tested with RT-PCR nasal and pharyngeal swab, thus leading to an intuitively lower percentage of asymptomatic women in the study cohort. More importantly, inclusion of women mainly presenting with symptoms or being tested positive for close contact with infected people represents an inclusion bias and it may be entirely possible that the rate of adverse outcomes reported in women with high-risk pregnancy from the present series may represent an overestimation of the actual occurrence of these outcomes in the overall general population of pregnant women with SARS-CoV-2 infection. Another major limitation was represented by the fact that we could not stratified the analysis according to the specific pregnancy or pre-pregnancy comorbidity because the small number of cases per each sub-group category would have affected the robustness of the results. Furthermore, different income level of countries and healthcare systems, and the heterogeneity in the management of both the mother and the fetus might have independently affected perinatal outcomes. Finally, the contribution of each center in providing the data was not homogenous as well the definitions of the different pregnancy complications

(i.e. gestational diabetes mellitus). In this scenario it may be entirely possible that the present population includes the most severe spectrum of SARS-CoV-2 infection in pregnancy.

Implications for clinical practice and research

Since the beginning of the pandemic outbreak, pregnancy has been extensively evaluated as a potential high risk condition, due to physiological changes that might predispose pregnant women to a more severe clinical course of COVID-19, compared with non-pregnant population.⁴⁻⁵

One of the largest systematic review recently published on this topic showed that pregnant and recently pregnant women affected by COVID-19 were significantly more likely to need admission to ICU and invasive ventilation, compared with non-pregnant women of reproductive age, and that increased maternal age, higher BMI, chronic hypertension and pre-existing diabetes were all significantly associated with a more severe course of COVID-19 in pregnancy. Moreover, pre-existing maternal comorbidities represented also a risk factor for admission to ICU and for invasive ventilation.⁹

The presence of higher risk of adverse outcomes in patients affected by COVID-19 is a well-known issue also in general population and has been reported early in the beginning of the pandemic: cardiovascular diseases, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, obesity has been all shown to be strong predictors of mortality and severe morbidity in people with SARS-COV-2 infection, particularly with increasing age,¹⁴⁻¹⁷ although the strength of this association persists also when considering only young adults.¹⁸

The findings from this study confirm what previously shown both in general population and pregnant women, as the presence of either pre-existing or obstetrical conditions was associated with a significantly higher risk of composite adverse maternal outcome, severe respiratory morbidity and need for invasive ventilation. Conversely, the association between SARS-COV-2 infection and high-risk pregnancy did not significantly influence perinatal outcomes.

Conclusions

High risk pregnancies, complicated by SARS-COV-2 infection are at higher risk of adverse outcome, mostly respiratory, compared to low-risk gestations. Accurate risk stratification of women presenting with suspected SARS-COV-2 infection in pregnancy is warranted in order to identify a sub-set of women who may benefit of a tailored management, in order to improve maternal outcome.

REFERENCES

1. Perlman S. Another Decade, Another Coronavirus. *N Engl J Med.* 2020; 382:760-776.
2. <https://covid19.who.int/> Accessed on October 26, 2020.
3. <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/cases-updates/special-populations/birth-data-on-covid-19.html>
4. Di Mascio D, Khalil A, Saccone G, Rizzo G, Buca D, Liberati M, Vecchiet J, Nappi L, Scambia G, Berghella V, D'Antonio F. Outcome of Coronavirus spectrum infections (SARS, MERS, COVID-19) during pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Obstet Gynecol MFM.* 2020; 2:100107 doi: 10.1016/j.ajogmf.2020.100107.
5. Di Mascio D; WAPM (The World Association of Perinatal Medicine) working group on COVID-19. Maternal and Perinatal Outcomes of Pregnant Women with SARS-COV-2 infection. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2020 Sep 14. doi: 10.1002/uog.23107.
6. Di Mascio D, Sen C, Saccone G, Galindo A, Grünebaum A, Yoshimatsu J, Stanojevic M, Kurjak A, Chervenak F. Risk factors associated with adverse fetal outcomes in pregnancies affected by Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a secondary analysis of the WAPM study on COVID-19. *J Perinat Med.* 2020 Sep 25:/j/jpme.ahead-of-print/jpm-2020-0355/jpm-2020-0355.xml.
7. Huntley B, Huntley E, Di Mascio D, Chen T, Berghella V, Chauhan S. Rates of maternal and perinatal mortality and vertical transmission in pregnancies complicated by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-Co-V-2) infection: a systematic review. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2020;136:303–312. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0000000000004010.
8. Dubey P, Reddy SY, Manuel S, Dwivedi AK. Maternal and neonatal characteristics and outcomes among COVID-19 infected women: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2020;252:490–501. doi: 10.1016/j.ejogrb.2020.07.034.

9. Juan J, Gil MM., Rong Z, Zhang Y, Yang H, Poon LC. Effect of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) on maternal, perinatal and neonatal outcome: systematic review. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2020;56:15–27. doi: 10.1002/uog.2208
10. Allotey J, Stallings E, Bonet M, et al. Clinical manifestations, risk factors, and maternal and perinatal outcomes of coronavirus disease 2019 in pregnancy: living systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ.* 2020;370:m3320.. doi:10.1136/bmj.m3320
11. Petrilli CM, Jones SA, Yang J, et al. Factors associated with hospital admission and critical illness among 5279 people with coronavirus disease 2019 in New York City: prospective cohort study. *BMJ.* 2020;369:m1966. doi:10.1136/bmj.m1966
12. World Health Organization. Clinical management of severe acute respiratory infection when novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) is suspected: interim guidance. 13 March 2020.
13. Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, Liang WH, Ou CQ, He JX, Liu L, Shan H, Lei CL, Hui DSC, Du B, Li LJ, Zeng G, Yuen KY, Chen RC, Tang CL, Wang T, Chen PY, Xiang J, Li SY, Wang JL, Liang ZJ, Peng YX, Wei L, Liu Y, Hu YH, Peng P, Wang JM, Liu JY, Chen Z, Li G, Zheng ZJ, Qiu SQ, Luo J, Ye CJ, Zhu SY, Zhong NS; China Medical Treatment Expert Group for Covid-19. Clinical Characteristics of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in China. *N Engl J Med.* 2020; 382:1708-1720.
14. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, Zhang L, Fan G, Xu J, Gu X, Cheng Z, Yu T, Xia J, Wei Y, Wu W, Xie X, Yin W, Li H, Liu M, Xiao Y, Gao H, Guo L, Xie J, Wang G, Jiang R, Gao Z, Jin Q, Wang J, Cao B. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet.* 2020; 395:497-506.
15. Harrison SL, Fazio-Eynullayeva E, Lane DA, Underhill P, Lip GYH. Comorbidities associated with mortality in 31,461 adults with COVID-19 in the United States: A federated electronic medical record analysis. *PLoS Med.* 2020;17(9):e1003321.
16. Petrilli CM, Jones SA, Yang J, et al. Factors associated with hospital admission and critical illness among 5279 people with coronavirus disease 2019 in New York City: prospective cohort study. *BMJ.* 2020; 369:m1966.
17. CDC COVID-19 Response Team. Preliminary Estimates of the Prevalence of Selected Underlying Health Conditions Among Patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019 - United States, February 12-March 28, 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2020; 69:382-386.
18. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study [published correction appears in *Lancet.* 2020

Mar 28;395(10229):1038] [published correction appears in Lancet. 2020 Mar 28;395(10229):1038].
Lancet. 2020; 395:1054-1062.

19. Cunningham JW, Vaduganathan M, Claggett BL, et al. Clinical Outcomes in Young US Adults Hospitalized With COVID-19 [published online ahead of print, 2020 Sep 9]. JAMA Intern Med. 2020; e205313.

Journal Pre-proof

TABLES

Table 1. Comparison of different characteristics in high vs low risk pregnancies complicated by SARS-COV-2 infection

Characteristic	High-risk pregnancies (N = 208)	No high-risk pregnancies (N = 679)	p-value
<i>Maternal and pregnancy characteristics</i>			
Maternal age	34.16±6.8	31.39±5.5	<0.001
Gestational age at diagnosis of infection (w)	30.26±9.8	29.65±9.6	0.425
Nulliparity	75 (36.1%)	219 (32.3%)	0.313
Smoking before or during pregnancy	11 (5.3%)	21 (3.1%)	0.140
Preterm birth < 37 weeks of gestation	33 (15.9)	61 (9.0)	0.007
Preterm birth < 34 weeks of gestation	14 (6.7)	27 (4.0)	0.129
Vaginal delivery	145 (69.7)	527 (77.6)	0.026
Caesaren section	63 (30.3)	152 (22.4)	0.026
<i>Clinical, radiological and laboratory findings</i>			
Symptomatic infection	106 (51.0%)	411 (60.5%)	0.016
Asymptomatic infection	102 (49.0%)	268 (39.5%)	0.016
Fever	50 (24.0%)	206 (30.3%)	0.081

High-grade fever	20 (9.6%)	14 (2.1%)	<0.001
Cough	52 (25.0%)	185 (27.2%)	0.591
Myalgia	28 (13.5%)	129 (19.0%)	0.078
Anosmia	11 (5.3%)	47 (6.9%)	0.521
G.I. symptoms	4 (1.9%)	23 (3.9%)	0.360
Positive chest CT scan	34 (16.3%)	20 (2.9%)	<0.001
Lymphopenia	109 (52.4%)	311 (45.8%)	0.096
Thrombocytopenia	27 (13.0%)	31 (4.6%)	<0.001
Increased LDH levels	29 (13.9%)	24 (3.5%)	<0.001
Pharmacologic Treatments			
LMWH	58 (27.9%)	125 (18.4%)	0.139
Antibiotics	61 (29.3%)	209 (30.8%)	0.731
Any antiviral drug	67 (32.2%)	142 (20.9%)	<0.001
Hydroxychloroquine	46 (22.1%)	122 (18.0%)	0.189

Data are presented as number (percentage) or as mean \pm standard deviation (SD)

CT, computerized tomography; LMWH, Low molecular weight heparin; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase;

Boldface data, statistically significant

Table 2. Comparison of the different maternal and fetal outcomes in high vs low risk pregnancies complicated by SARS-COV-2 infection

	High-risk pregnancies (N = 208)	No high-risk pregnancies (N = 679)	p-value
Composite adverse maternal outcome	46 (22.1%)	107 (15.8%)	0.036
In hospital admission	85 (40.9%)	216 (31.8%)	0.019
Severe respiratory symptoms	44 (21.2%)	76 (11.2%)	<0.001
Admission to intensive care unit	19 (9.1%)	25 (3.7%)	0.003
Invasive ventilation	11 (5.3%)	14 (2.1%)	0.027
Composite adverse fetal outcome	37 (17.8%)	74 (10.9%)	0.012
Miscarriage	11 (5.3%)	11 (1.6%)	0.008
Intra-uterine death	2 (1.0%)	3 (0.4%)	0.334
Neonatal death	2 (1.0%)	6 (0.8%)	1.000
Perinatal death	4 (2.0%)	9 (1.2%)	0.516
Admission to neonatal intensive care unit	18 (8.7%)	54 (8.0%)	0.772