

White as the reference, with appropriate statistical testing on SAS 9.4 software.

This study was approved by the Columbia University Irving Medical Center Institutional Review Board with a waiver of informed consent.

RESULTS

We identified 100 women who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 infection among 673 gravid patients (14.9%) who delivered during the study period. There was a significantly higher SARS-CoV-2 infection rate

among Hispanic women compared with non-Hispanic White women (18.1% vs 9.4%, $P \leq .01$). The rate of positive SARS-CoV-2 reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction results in non-Hispanic Black women (12.7%) was not significantly different. Mean body mass index (BMI, calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared) for Hispanic women with a positive SARS-CoV-2 test result was higher than that of non-Hispanic White women (Table 1). Compared with non-Hispanic White women, who were more evenly distributed across

Table 1. Baseline Demographic and Clinical Characteristics

Characteristic	Racial–Ethnic Group*				All (N=100)
	Non-Hispanic White (n=13)	Hispanic (n=73)	Non-Hispanic Black (n=10)	Other (n=4) [†]	
Total patients delivered (% positive for SARS-CoV-2 infection)	138 (9.4)	403 (18.1)[‡]	79 (12.7)	53 (7.5)	673 (14.9)
Demographics					
Maternal age at delivery (y)	32 (22–45)	28 (18–47)	32.5 (17–39)	30.5 (27–37)	28.5 (17–47)
Parity (n=68)	1 (0–8)	1 (0–5)	1 (0–5)	1 (0–1)	1 (0–8)
Prepregnancy BMI (kg/m ²) (n=64)	26.0 (16.5–31.9)	28.4 (21.9–45.0)[§]	27.7 (27.0–30.4)	26.7 (26.7–26.7)	28.0 (16.5–45.0)
Borough of residence					
Bronx	3 (23)	36 (49)	3 (30)	3 (75)	45 (45)
Manhattan	2 (15)	28 (38)	2 (20)	1 (25)	33 (33)
Brooklyn	1 (8)	2 (3)	2 (20)	0	5 (5)
Queens	2 (15)	0	1 (10)	0	3 (3)
Other cities in New York state or New Jersey	5 (39)	7 (10)	2 (20)	0	14 (14)
Insurance (n=99)					
Public	4 (31)	59 (82)	3 (30)	3 (75)	69 (70)
Private	9 (69)	13 (18)	7 (70)	1 (25)	30 (30)
AHRQ SES index (n=61)	57.2 (51.1–66.0)	48.2 (41.3–61.4)[‡]	50.6 (41.6–55.1)[‡]	47.0 (41.3–51.1)[§]	48.3 (41.3–66.0)
Comorbidities					
Chronic hypertension	0	4 (5)	1 (10)	0	5 (5)
Gestational hypertension	2 (15)	13 (18)	2 (20)	0	17 (17)
Preeclampsia	1 (8)	6 (8)	0	3 (75)[§]	10 (10)
Other cardiac disease	1 (8)	1 (1)	0	0	2 (2)
Pregestational diabetes	0	3 (4)	0	0	3 (3)
Gestational diabetes	1 (8)	8 (11)	0	1 (25)	10 (10)
Asthma	1 (8)	10 (14)	0	1 (25)	12 (12)
Other pulmonary disease	0	3 (4)	0	0	3 (3)

SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; BMI, body mass index; AHRQ, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; SES, socioeconomic status.

Data are n (%) or median (range).

Bold indicates statistical significance.

* Numbers may not add up to column total because of missing values.

[†] “Other” is comprised of two Asian women and two women with unknown or unreported race–ethnicity.

[‡] $P \leq .01$, for differences between racial–ethnic groups where the comparison group is non-Hispanic White women.

[§] $P \leq .05$.

^{||} A neighborhood SES index was computed from U.S. Census New York City neighborhood tabulation areas adapted from the AHRQ, including the following building-level variables: number of residential units per building and mean assessed value (per square foot); and neighborhood-level variables: median household income, poverty rate, unemployment rate, population density, household membership (persons per household), and household crowding (percentage of households with more than one person per room).



Table 2. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)–Related and Perinatal Outcomes

	Racial–Ethnic Group*				All (N=100)
	Non-Hispanic White (n=13)	Hispanic (n=73)	Non-Hispanic Black (n=10)	Other (n=4) [†]	
SARS-CoV-2 clinical characteristics					
Symptoms on admission					
Asymptomatic	10 (77)	54 (74)	5 (50)	3 (75)	72 (72)
Symptomatic	3 (23)	19 (26)	5 (50)	1 (25)	28 (28)
Indication for testing					
Symptoms (PUI)	3 (23)	22 (30)	4 (40)	1 (25)	30 (30)
Universal testing	10 (77)	51 (70)	5 (50)	3 (75)	69 (69)
Exposure	0	0	1 (10)	0	1 (1)
Gestational age at diagnosis (wk) (n=99)					
Symptoms (PUI)	37 (35–40)	36.5 (15–40)	38 (37–40)	37 (37–37)	37 (15–40)
Universal testing	38.5 (36–40)	39 (31–42)	37 (18–40)	39 (32–40)	39 (18–42)
Disease severity					
Asymptomatic	4 (31)	23 (32)	4 (40)	2 (50)	33 (33)
Mild	8 (62)	43 (59)	5 (50)	1 (25)	57 (57)
Severe	0	4 (5)	1 (10)	1 (25)	6 (6)
Critical	1 (7)	3 (4)	0	0	4 (4)
Outcomes					
Hospitalization for COVID-19 indications	1 (8)	4 (5)	1 (10)	0	6 (6)
Patient received oxygen therapy	1 (8)	4 (5)	1 (10)	0	6 (6)
Diagnosis of pneumonia	1 (8)	9 (12)	1 (10)	2 (50)	13 (13)
Patient received any COVID-19 treatment	1 (8)	10 (14)	1 (10)	0	12 (12)
Patient received Plaquenil	1 (8)	7 (10)	1 (10)	0	9 (9)
Patient received any antibiotics (n=99)	1 (8)	7 (10)	1 (10)	0	9 (9)
Patient received any other treatments	1 (8)	9 (12)	1 (10)	0	11 (11)
Delivery complications					
Chorioamnionitis	0	9 (12)	0	0	9 (9)
Preterm delivery (wk)					
Less than 37 (n=99)	1 (8)	9 (12)	2 (22)	1 (25)	13 (13)
Less than 34 (n=99)	0	6 (8)	1 (10)	1 (25)	8 (8)
Postpartum hemorrhage	2 (15)	13 (18)	1 (10)	1 (25)	17 (17)
Gestational age at delivery (wk) (n=99)					
Symptoms (PUI)	39 (37–40)	38 (15–41)	39 (37–40)	37 (37–37)	38 (15–41)
Universal testing	39 (36–40)	39 (32–41) [‡]	37 (18–40)	39 (32–40)	39 (18–41)
Type of delivery (n=99)					
Spontaneous vaginal	11 (85)	34 (47)	5 (50)	3 (75)	53 (54)
Cesarean	2 (15)	38 (53)	4 (40)	1 (25)	45 (45)
Spontaneous abortion	0	0	1 (10)	0	1 (1)
Birth weight (g) (n=99)	3,320 (2,400–4,210)	3,350 (1,660–4,100)	3,113 (212–3,510)	2,920 (1,540–3,105)	3,290 (212–4,210)
5-min Apgar score less than 7	0	1 (1)	1 (10)	0	2 (2)

SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; PUI, person under investigation; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019.

Data are n (%) or median (range).

Bold indicates statistical significance.

* Numbers may not add up to column total because of missing values.

[†] “Other” is comprised of two Asian women and two women with unknown or unreported race–ethnicity.

[‡] $P \leq .05$, for differences between racial–ethnic groups where the comparison group is non-Hispanic White women.

New York state and New Jersey, 49% of Hispanic women lived in the Bronx and few lived outside of New York City (10%). Additionally, a higher proportion of Hispanic women had public insurance compared with non-Hispanic White women (Table 1).

Hispanic women, non-Hispanic Black women, and women in the “other” race–ethnicity group had a lower mean neighborhood socioeconomic status index compared with non-Hispanic White women. Other differences are noted in Table 1.



Disease-specific outcomes and perinatal complications did not differ between groups (Table 2). Hispanic women, however, were more likely to deliver by cesarean than were non-Hispanic White women (53% vs 15%, $P \leq .05$). There were no differences in neonatal outcomes.

DISCUSSION

In this cohort, Hispanic women were disproportionately represented among those affected by SARS-CoV-2 infection, suggesting some disparity in infection risk. Although all racial-ethnic minority groups had lower neighborhood socioeconomic status scores compared with non-Hispanic White women, only Hispanic women differed significantly in borough of residence and insurance type. Consistent with data demonstrating higher morbidity by borough and household crowding, this may suggest that variations in urban environment and insurance-associated inequities play a greater role than socioeconomic status alone in observed disparities related to SARS-CoV-2 infection risk in our obstetric population.⁵⁻⁷

The observed difference in cesarean delivery rate notwithstanding, our data do not demonstrate any racial-ethnic differences in infection-associated or perinatal outcomes among pregnant women with SARS-CoV-2 infection. Although we may be underpowered to detect existing disparities, it is alternatively possible that disparities in SARS-CoV-2-specific outcomes in fact did not exist in this population. During the pandemic, strategies to preserve provision of care for pregnant women at our institution who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 infection included the rapid creation of dedicated COVID-19 telehealth clinics,⁸ which may have mitigated differences between groups.

Limitations of this study include its retrospective design, small sample size, and lack of a control group of patients without SARS-CoV-2 infection. Key strengths are the adoption of universal testing and 96% complete racial and ethnic data. Given ongoing

nationwide spread of SARS-CoV-2 infection, these findings may provide important guidance for targeted prevention efforts for systemically disadvantaged populations.

REFERENCES

1. Washington DL, Bowles J, Saha S, Horowitz CR, Moody-Ayers S, Brown AF, et al. Transforming clinical practice to eliminate racial-ethnic disparities in healthcare. *J Gen Intern Med* 2008;23: 685-91.
2. Vergano D, Goba K. Why the coronavirus is killing Black Americans at outrage rates across the US. Available at: <https://www.buzzfeednews.com/article/danvergano/coronavirus-black-americans-covid19>. Retrieved April 12, 2020.
3. Thebault R, Ba Tran A, Williams V. The coronavirus is infecting and killing Black Americans at an alarmingly high rate. *Washington Post*, April 7, 2020.
4. National Institutes of Health. NOT-OD-15-089: racial and ethnic categories and definitions for NIH diversity programs and for other reporting purposes. Bethesda, MD: NIH; 2015.
5. Emeruwa UN, Ona S, Shaman JL, Turitz A, Wright JD, Gyamfi-Bannerman C, et al. Associations between built environment, neighborhood socioeconomic status, and SARS-CoV-2 infection among pregnant women in New York City. *JAMA* 2020 Jun 18 [Epub ahead of print].
6. Han X, Call KT, Pintor JK, Alarcon-Espinoza G, Simon AB. Reports of insurance-based discrimination in health care and its association with access to care. *Am J Public Health* 2015; 105(suppl 3):S517-25.
7. Wadhera RK, Wadhera P, Gaba P, Figueroa JF, Joynt Maddox KE, Yeh RW, et al. Variation in COVID-19 hospitalizations and deaths across New York City boroughs. *JAMA* 2020;323: 2192-5.
8. Spiegelman J, Krenitsky N, Syeda S, Sutton D, Moroz L. Rapid development and implementation of a Covid-19 telehealth clinic for obstetric patients. *NEJM Catalyst* 2020 May 15 [Epub ahead of print].

(*Obstet Gynecol* 2020 Jul 21 [Epub ahead of print])
DOI: 10.1097/AOG.0000000000004088

PEER REVIEW HISTORY

Received June 24, 2020. Received in revised form July 9, 2020. Accepted July 10, 2020. Peer reviews are available at <http://links.lww.com/AOG/C22>.

